Mississippi Secretary of State

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES		., P. O. Box 136, Jackson, MS 39	205-0136		
AGENCY NAME Mississippi Insurance Department	, 110,7761,7121,716	CONTACT PERSON Mark Lampton, Spec. Asst. Att. Gen.		TELEPHONE NI (601)359-3577	
ADDRESS P.O. Box 79		CITY Jackson		STATE MS	ZIP 39205
EMAIL mark.lampton@mid.ms.gov	SUBMIT DATE 11/4/14	Name or number of rule(s): Miss. Admin. Code, Title 19, Part 7, Requirements for Manufacturers, Mo and Transporters or Installers of Man	dular Contracto	ors, Developers,	
Short explanation of rule/amendment modernized and clarified. Specific legal authority authorizing the List all rules repealed, amended, or su ORAL PROCEEDING:	e promulgation of re	ule: Miss. Code §§ 75-49-5; 75-4	19-1 et seq.	(Supp. 2013)	5 (1986 - 1995 - 1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996)
An oral proceeding is scheduled for	r this rule on Date	e: Time: Place:		***************************************	
Presently, an oral proceeding is no					
If an oral proceeding is not scheduled, an oral p ten (10) or more persons. The written request notice of proposed rule adoption and should in agent or attorney, the name, address, email ad comment period, written submissions including ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:	should be submitted to clude the name, address dress, and telephone nu	the agency contact person at the above , ernail address, and telephone number mber of the party or parties you repres	address within of the person(ent. At any tim	twenty (20) da (s) making the re se within the tw	ys after the filing of this equest; and, if you are an enty-five (25) day public
	enteral for this suits	M c			
Economic impact statement not re		. Concise summary of ed	onomic imp	statemer	nt attached.
TEMPORARY RULES		OSED ACTION ON RULES	Date Propo	IAI. ACTION sed Rule Filed	
Original filing Renewal of effectiveness	Action proper		Action take Ado		changes in text
To be in effect in days	X Amer	ndment to existing rule(s)	Ado	pted with cha	nges
Effective date:Immediately upon filing		al of existing rule(s) tion by reference		pted by refere ndrawn	ence
Other (specify):		al effective date:		eal adopted as	proposed
		ys after filing	Effective da	ate:	
	X Othe	r (specify): <u>January 1, 2015</u>		lays after filing er (specify):	<u> </u>
Printed name and Title of person a			Asst. Att. Ge	en.	
Signature of person authorized to	file rules: _/s/ M	ark Lampton	7		
OFFICIAL FILING STAMP		FWRITE BELOW THIS LINE FICIAL FILING STAMP	О	FFICIAL FILIN	IG STAMP
	l l	NOV 0 4 2014 MISSISSIPPI ETARY OF STATE			
Accepted for filing by	Accepted for		Accepted	for filing by	

The entire text of the Proposed Rule including the text of any rule being amended or changed is attached.



DELBERT HOSEMANN Secretary of State

CONCISE SUMMARY OF	ECONOMIC I	MPACT STATI	EMENT
An Economic Impact Statement is required a Administrative Procedures Act. This is a Co which must be filed with the Secretary of States.	ncise Summary o		
AGENCY NAME Mississippi Insurance Department	CONTACT PERSON Mark Lampton, Spec.	Asst. Att. Gen.	TELEPHONE NUMBER (601)359-3577
ADDRESS P.O. Box 79	CITY Jackson	STATE MS	ZIP 39205
EMAII. mark.tampton@mid.ms.gov	Miss. Admin. Code, T Requirements for Mar Transporters or Install	ers of Manufactured Hom	ractors, Developers, Retailers, and cs)
Specific Legal Authority Authorizing the promulgation of Rule; Miss. Code §§ 75-49-5; 75-49-1 et seq.	Rufe:	e to Rules repealed, ameno min. Code, Title 19, Part 7	led or suspended by the Proposed , Chapter 4
A. Estimated Costs and Benefits			
1. Briefly summarize the benef	fits that may res	ult from this regu	lation and who will
benefit: The Amendments	•	_	
in the previous set of rules oversight of the factory-bu home industry will be clarupon them.	ilt home indus	try. The benefit	to the factory-built
2. Briefly describe the need for modernize the rules, and c			ments are needed to
3. Briefly describe the effect the and welfare: The Amendment of the rul manufactured housing ind citizens of Mississippi resident and properly constructed by	les will result in ustry within th ling in factory	enhanced overs	sight of the sippi, ensuring that the
4. Estimated Cost of implement a. To the agency ☐ Nothing ☒ Mi b. To other state or loca ☒ Nothing ☐ Mi	nimal	erate Substa	ntial Excessive

5.	Estimated Cost and/or economic benefit to all persons directly affected by the proposed rule:
	c. Cost: Nothing Minimal Moderate Substantial Excessive
	d. Economic Benefit: Nothing Minimal Moderate Substantial Excessive
6.	Estimated impact on small businesses: Nothing Minimal Moderate Substantial Excessive
	a. Estimate of the number of small businesses subject to the proposed regulation: The estimated number of small business retailers/developers and installer/transporters is 209.
	b. Projected costs for small businesses to comply: Each licensed Retailer/Developer will be required to increase their Surety Bond Policies from \$10,000.00 to \$25,000.00 per facility. The projected cost to the Retailer/Developer would be an increase in the cost of the policy from \$150.00 to \$300.00.
	The new regulation will require the licensed Installer/Transporter to provide proof of a Surety Bond Policy of \$25,000.00 and a General Liability Policy in the amount of \$500,000.00 with coverage to include transportation of manufactured homes. Under the current regulations the Installer/Transporter is only required to provide proof of a Surety Bond Policy or a General Liability Policy.
	The projected cost to the Installer/Transporter would be as follows: The price of a \$10,000 Surety Bond policy averages \$150.00/year. The price of a \$25,000 Surety Bond policy averages \$300.00/year. The price of a \$500,000 General Liability Policy could average \$1,700/year depending on the payroll for employees and drivers.
	The projected cost to the Installer/Transporter would be \$1,850.00/year.
	c. Statement of probable effect on impacted small businesses: The most probable effect of the regulation would be that the cost of doing business may be increased to compensate for the additional bonding and insurance requirements.
7.	The cost of adopting the rule compared to not adopting the rule or significantly amending the existing rule (check option): substantially less than moderately less than minimally less than the same as minimally more than moderately more than substantially more than excessively more than
8.	The benefit of adopting the rule compared to not adopting the rule or significantly amending the existing rule (check option):

B. Reasonab	ole Alternative Methods	
I. C		ere less costly or less intrusive methods for ed rule?
16		able, reasonable alternative(s) and the reasons for of the proposed rule. (Please see §25-43-4.104)
C. Data and		
re		methodology you used in making the estimates nates were derived from records on file with t
	Mississiph Cities and Danishar a	onice, and infough consultation with
	epresentatives in the insurance p	-
		-
· P 1	epresentatives in the insurance p	-
D. Public No 1. V a	epresentatives in the insurance potice Where, when, and how may someound request an oral proceeding on the cheduled? A person may send continuous process of the cheduled of	-
D. Public No 1. V a	epresentatives in the insurance potice Where, when, and how may someound request an oral proceeding on the cheduled? A person may send con oral proceeding to: Mississip	ne present their views on the proposed rule ne proposed rule if one is not already omments, suggestions, and a request for opi Iusurance Dept.
D. Public No 1. V a	epresentatives in the insurance police Where, when, and how may someound request an oral proceeding on the cheduled? A person may send common oral proceeding to: Mississip Attn: M	ne present their views on the proposed rule he proposed rule if one is not already mments, suggestions, and a request for opi Iusurance Dept. ark Lampton, Special Asst. Att. Gen.
D. Public No 1. V a	epresentatives in the insurance protice Where, when, and how may someound request an oral proceeding on the cheduled? A person may send continuous proceeding to: Mississip Attn: M.P.O. Box	ne present their views on the proposed rule he proposed rule if one is not already omments, suggestions, and a request for opi Iusurance Dept. ark Lampton, Special Asst. Att. Gen.
D. Public No 1. V a	otice Where, when, and how may someond request an oral proceeding on the cheduled? A person may send control proceeding to: Mississip Attn: M. P.O. Boy Jackson,	ne present their views on the proposed rule he proposed rule if one is not already mments, suggestions, and a request for opi Iusurance Dept. ark Lampton, Special Asst. Att. Gen.
D. Public No 1. V a	otice Where, when, and how may someond request an oral proceeding on the cheduled? A person may send control proceeding to: Mississip Attn: M. P.O. Boy Jackson,	ne present their views on the proposed rule he proposed rule if one is not already omments, suggestions, and a request for opi Iusurance Dept. ark Lampton, Special Asst. Att. Gen. 79 MS 39205-0079
D. Public No 1. V a	otice Where, when, and how may someond request an oral proceeding on the cheduled? A person may send common oral proceeding to: Mississing Attn: M. P.O. Box Jackson, Email: 1	ne present their views on the proposed rule he proposed rule if one is not already omments, suggestions, and a request for opi Iusurance Dept. ark Lampton, Special Asst. Att. Gen. 79 MS 39205-0079